GREENPOINT-WILLIAMSBURG REZONING

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT CEQR # 04DCP003K



Lead Agency: City Planning Commission, City of New York Amanda M. Burden, AICP, Chair

March 4, 2005

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

GREENPOINT-WILLIAMSBURG REZONING

March 4, 2005

CEQR No. 04DCP003K

ULURP Nos. N050110 ZRK, C050111 ZMK, C040415 MMK, C040416 MMK, C040417 MMK, C040418 MMK, N050110(A) ZRK, and C050111(A) ZMK Action Location: Brooklyn, New York City

LEAD AGENCY:

City Planning Commission City of New York Amanda M. Burden, AICP, Chair

LEAD AGENCY CONTACT:

Robert Dobruskin, Director
Environmental Assessment and Review Division
New York City Department of City Planning
22 Reade Street, Room 4E
New York, New York, 10007
(212) 720-3423

PREPARED BY:

Philip Habib & Associates AKRF, Inc. LMS Engineers LLP Celia Bergoffen, Ph.D. HydroQual, Inc.

PREPARED FOR:

Glen A. Price III, Director
Studies Implementation
New York City Department of City Planning
22 Reade Street, Room 4E
New York, NY 10007
Studies Implementation
New York City Department of City Planning
16 Court Street, Room 705
Brooklyn, NY 11241
718-643-7550

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword	l	• • • •
Executive	Summary	. S-1
Chapter 1	: Project Description	. 1-1
A.	Introduction	
B.	Purpose and Need for Proposed Action	. 1-2
C.	Description of the Proposed Action	. 1-3
	Proposed Zoning Map Changes	. 1-3
	Proposed Zoning Text Amendments	. 1-7
	Proposed Changes to the City Map	1-10
	(E) Designations	1-11
D.	Projected Development Scenario	1-11
	The Future Without the Proposed Action (No-Action Conditions)	1-13
	The Future With the Proposed Action (With-Action Conditions)	1-28
	Reasonable Worst Case Development Scenario	1-29
F.	Required Approvals	1-32
Chapter 2	2: Land Use, Zoning and Public Policy	2_1
	Introduction	
В.	Background and Development History	
C.	Land Use	
С.	Existing Conditions	
	The Future Without the Proposed Action (No-Action)	
	The Future With the Proposed Action (With-Action)	
	Assessment	
D	Zoning	
D.	Existing Conditions	
	The Future Without the Proposed Action (No-Action)	
	The Future With the Proposed Action (With-Action)	
	Assessment	
E.	Public Policy	
L.	Existing Conditions	
	The Future Without the Proposed Action (No-Action)	
	Assessment	
F.	Conclusions	
Γ.	Conclusions	Z -4 C
Chapter 3		
A.	Introduction	
B.	Study Area Definition, Data Sources, and Methodology	. 3-2
	Study Areas	
	Data Sources and Methodology	. 3-2
C.	•	
	Direct Residential Displacement	
	Direct Business Displacement	. 3-5
	Indirect Residential Displacement	3-10
	Indirect Business Displacement	3-12
	Adverse Effects on Specific Industries	3-28
D.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3-29
	Existing Conditions	3-29
	The Future Without the Proposed Action (No-Action Conditions)	3-54
	The Future With the Proposed Action (With-Action Conditions)	3-55
E.	Conclusions	3-58
Chapter 4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
A.	Introduction	
R	Public Schools	4_2

	Existing Conditions 4-2 The Future Without the Proposed Action 4-6
	The Future With the Proposed Action 4-9
C.	Libraries
C.	Existing Conditions 4-12
	The Future Without the Proposed Action
	The Future With the Proposed Action
D.	Day Care
	Existing Conditions
	The Future Without the Proposed Action
	The Future With the Proposed Action
E.	Health Care
	Existing Conditions
	The Future Without the Proposed Action
	The Future With the Proposed Action 4-22
F.	Police Services
	Existing Conditions
	Future Without the Proposed Action
	The Future With the Proposed Action 4-24
G.	Fire Protection
	Existing Conditions
	The Future Without the Proposed Action
	The Future With the Proposed Action
H.	Conclusions
Chapter 5:	Open Space 5-1
A.	Introduction
B.	Open Space Screening for Worker Population
	Scenario A
	Scenario B
C.	Open Space Study Area
D.	Existing Conditions
	Study Area Population
	Inventory of Publicly-Accessible Open Space
	Quantitative Analysis of Open Space Adequacy
	Qualitative Assessment of Open Space Adequacy
E.	The Future Without the Proposed Action (No-Action)
	Open Space Study Area Population 5-18
	Open Space Resources 5-19
	Quantitative Analysis of Open Space Adequacy
	Qualitative Assessment of Open Space Adequacy
F.	The Future With the Proposed Action
	Open Space Study Area Population 5-21
	Open Space Resources
	Quantitative Analysis of Open Space Adequacy
	Qualitative Assessment of Open Space Adequacy 5-26
	Assessment
Chapter 6:	Shadows
A.	Introduction
B.	Resources of Concern 6-1
	Historic Resources
	Open Space Resources
C.	The Future Without the Proposed Action
D.	The Future With the Proposed Action
	Greenpoint Sub-Area
	Williamsburg Sub-Area 6-12
	Assessment
Chapter 7:	Historic Resources
A.	Introduction

B.	Background History	
	Geography of the Area	
	Greenpoint History	
	Williamsburg History	
	Recent Changes	
C.	Archaeological Resources	
	Existing Conditions	
	The Future Without the Proposed Action (No-Action)	
	The Future With the Proposed Action (With-Action)	
D.	Architectural Resources	
	Existing Conditions	
	The Future Without the Proposed Action (No-Action)	
	The Future With the Proposed Action (With-Action)	7-26
Chapter 8:		
A.	Introduction	
В.	Existing Conditions	
	Urban Design	
G	Visual Resources	
C.	The Future Without the Proposed Action (No-Action)	
	Urban Design	
ъ	Visual Resources	
D.	The Future With the Proposed Action (With-Action)	
	Urban Design	
	Visual Resources	
E.	Conclusions	8-29
Chapter 9:	Neighborhood Character	0.1
A.	Introduction	
В.	Existing Conditions	
В.	Proposed Action Area	
	Secondary Study Area	
C.	The Future Without the Proposed Action	
C.	Proposed Action Area	
	Secondary Study Area	
D.	The Future With the Proposed Action	
D.	Projected Development Sites	
	Land Use Impacts on Neighborhood Character	
	Socioeconomic Impacts on Neighborhood Character	
	Historic Resource Impacts on Neighborhood Character	
	Urban Design and Visual Resources Impacts on Neighborhood Character	
	Transportation Impacts on Neighborhood Character	
	Noise Impacts on Neighborhood Character	
E.	Secondary Study Area	
L.	Conclusions	<i>)</i> -10
Chapter 10	: Natural Resources	10-1
A.	Introduction	10-1
	Methodology	10-1
	Assessment of Impacts	10-5
В.	Regulatory Context	10-6
	Federal	10-6
	New York State	10-7
	New York City	10-9
C.	Existing Conditions	10-9
	Wetlands	10-9
		10-11
		10-11
	•	10-11
		10-15
	•	10-19

D.	The Future Without the Proposed Action
E.	The Future With the Proposed Action(Scenario A)
	Upland Resources
	Waterfront Development Sites
	Water Quality Effects of Newtown Creek WPCP Effluent
	Increased Pollutants Loadings From CSOs
	Recreational Boating and Water Taxi Facilities
	Threatened and Endangered Species
	Bushwick Inlet
F.	The Future With the Proposed Action (Scenario B)
Ch4 1	I. Hannadana Matariala
Chapter 1	1: Hazardous Materials 11-1 Introduction 11-1
A. B.	Existing Conditions 11-2
D.	Historic Sanborn Fire Insurance Map Review
	New York City Building Department File Review
	Site Observations
	Environmental Database Listing Review
0	Previous Investigations Summary
C.	The Future Without the Proposed Action
D.	The Future With the Proposed Action
Chapter 1	2: Waterfront Revitalization Program 12-1
Α.	Introduction
B.	Consistency With LWRP Policies
	Policy 1
	Policy 2
	Policy 4
	Policy 6
	Policy 7
	Policy 8
	Policy 9
	Policy 10
Con	sistency Assessment Form
Cl 4 1	12.1
Chapter 1	
A.	Introduction
В.	Existing Conditions
	Water Supply
	Sanitary Sewage and Wastewater Treatment
~	Recent Capital Projects
C.	The Future Without the Proposed Action (No-Action)
	Water Supply
	Sanitary Sewage and Wastewater Management
	Stormwater Management
D.	The Future With the Proposed Action (With-Action)
	Water Supply
	Sanitary Sewage and Wastewater Management
	Stormwater Management
Chapter 1	4: Solid Waste & Sanitation Services
Cnapter 1	Introduction
A. B.	
В.	
	Description of Current Sanitation Services
•	Quantitative Analysis of Solid Waste Generation
C.	The Future Without the Proposed Action (No-Action)
D.	The Future With the Proposed Action (With-Action)
E.	Conclusions
Chapter 1:	5: Energy
A.	Introduction

B.	Existing Conditions The Energy System	15-1 15-1 15-3
C.	Existing Demand The Future Without the Proposed Action	15-5
C.	The Future Without the Proposed Action	15-5
		15-6
D.	The Future With the Proposed Action (With-Action)	15-7
D.	The Future With the Froposed Netion (With Netion)	15 /
Chapter 10	6: Traffic and Parking	16-1
Α.	Introduction	16-1
B.	Existing Conditions	16-2
	Data Collection	16-2
	Vehicular Traffic	16-2
	Truck Routes	16-5
	Bicycle Facilities	16-5
	Intersection Capacity Analysis	16-6
	Parking	16-14
C.	The Future Without the Proposed Action	16-15
	8	16-16
		16-17
	Parking 1	16-17
D.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16-24
	Trip Generation	16-24
	Trip Assignment	16-31
	Vehicular Traffic 1	16-31
	=,	16-40
	8	16-41
Е.	Summary 1	16-42
6 1		
Chapter 17		
A.	Introduction	17-1
В.	Existing Conditions	17-1 17-1
	Data Collection	17-1
	Subway Stations	17-2
	Subway Line Haul Bus Service	17-0
		17-13
		17-13
C.		17-15
C.		17-20
	Subway Line Haul	
	Bus Service	
		17-26
		17-26
D.		17-26
ъ.	1	17-30
	•	17-33
		17-36
		17-36
		17-39
E.	Conclusions	17-44
Chapter 18	B: Air Quality	18-1
Α.	Introduction	18-1
B.	Pollutants for Analysis	18-1
	Carbon Monoxide	18-2
	Lead	18-2
	Nitrogen Oxides, Volatile Organic Compounds, Ozone	18-2
	Respirable Particulate Matter – PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5}	18-3
	Sulfur Dioxide – SO ₂	18-3

C.	Air Quality Standards	
	National Ambient Air Quality Standards	
	State Implementation Plan (SIP)	
ъ	Determining the Significance of Air Quality Impacts	
D.	Methodology for Predicting Pollutant Concentrations	
	Mobile Source Analysis	
	Parking Facility Analysis	
г	Stationary Source Analysis	
E.	Existing Conditions	
	Existing Monitored Air Quality Conditions (2002)	
E	Predicted Existing Pollutant Concentrations in the Project Area Future No Action Conditions (No Build Scenario)	
F.	Mobile Sources	
	Stationary Sources	
G.	Scenario A: Future With-Action Conditions (Build Scenario)	18-21
U.	Mobile Sources	
	Parking Facilities	
	Stationary Sources	
H.	Scenario B: Future With-Action Conditions (Build Scenario)	
I.	Conclusion	
1.	Conclusion	105.
Chapter 19	9: Noise	19-1
A.	Introduction	
	Noise Fundamentals	19-1
B.	Noise Standards and Criteria	19-4
	New York City Noise Code	19-4
C.	Noise Prediction Methodology	
	Proportional Modeling Analysis	
D.	Existing Conditions	
	Study Area Description	
	Selection of Noise Monitoring Locations	
	Noise Monitoring	
	Equipment Used During Noise Monitoring	
_	Results of Baseline Measurements	
E.	The Future Without the Proposed Action	
F.	The Future With the Proposed Action (Scenario A)	
	Traffic Increment Analysis	
	Assessment of Parkland	
	Attenuation Requirements for Residential/Commercial Buildings	
E	Assessment of Impacts with the Proposed TransGas Energy Project (Scenario B)	
F.	The Future With the Proposed Action (Scenario B)	19-20
Chapter 20	0: Construction	20.1
Спар с ег 20 А.	Introduction	
В.	Construction Schedule and Activities	
C.	Potential Impacts During Construction	
0.	Historic Resources	
	Natural Resources	
	Hazardous Materials	
	Traffic and Parking	
	Air Quality	
	Noise	20-8
	Conclusions	20-9
Chapter 21	1: Public Health	21-1
•		
Chapter 22		
A.	Introduction	
B.	Socioeconomic Conditions	
C.	Community Facilities	22-2

E.	Traffic	
	Signalized Intersections	
	Unsignalized Intersections	
_	Summary	
F.	Transit and Pedestrians	
	Subway Stations	
	Subway Line Haul	
	Bus Service	
	Pedestrians	
G.	Air Quality	22-15
Chapter 23		
Α.	Introduction	
В.	No-Action Alternative	
	Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy	
	Socioeconomic Conditions	
	Community Facilities and Services	
	Open Space and Recreational Facilities	
	Shadows	
	Historic Resources	
	Urban Design and Visual Resources	
	Neighborhood Character	
	Hazardous Materials	
	Infrastructure	
	Solid Waste and Sanitation Services	
	Energy	. 23-8
	Traffic and Parking	. 23-8
	Transit and Pedestrians	. 23-8
	Air Quality	. 23-9
	Noise	. 23-9
	Construction Impacts	. 23-9
	Public Health	. 23-9
	Conclusions	. 23-9
C.	No Impacts Alternative	23-10
D.	Lesser Density Alternative	
	Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy	23-11
	Socioeconomic Conditions	
	Community Facilities and Services	
	Open Space	
	Historic Resources	
	Shadows	
	Urban Design and Visual Resources	
	Neighborhood Character	
	Hazardous Materials	
	Traffic and Parking/Transit and Pedestrians	
	Air Quality	
	Other Technical Areas	
	Conclusions	
<u>F.</u>	Additional Waterfront Development (AWD) Alternative	
=	Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy	
	Socioeconomic Conditions	
	Community Facilities and Services	
	Open Space	
	Shadows/Urban Design and Visual Resources	
	Traffic and Parking/Transit and Pedestrians	
	Air Quality and Noise	
	Other Technical Areas	
	Conclusions	
G	Waterfront Urban Design Alternative	
<u>을</u> H	Affordable Housing Zoning District Alternative	
<u>G.</u> <u>H.</u> E.	Revised Affordable Housing Bonus and Incentives (AHBI) Alternative	
<u></u>		

	Inclusionary Housing Bonus	23-26
	Other Modifications to Proposed Zoning Text	23-38
	Modifications to Proposed Zoning Map	
	Development Scenario	
	Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy	
	Socioeconomic Conditions	
	Community Facilities and Services.	
	Open Space	
	Shadows	
	Historic Resources	23-43
	Urban Design and Visual Resources	
	Neighborhood Character	
	Hazardous Materials	
	Natural Resources	23-45
	Waterfront Revitalization Program	23-46
	Infrastructure	
	Solid Waste and Sanitation Services	
	Energy	23-48
	Traffic and Parking	23-49
	Transit and Pedestrians	
	Air Quality	
	Noise	
	Construction Impacts Public Health	23-30
	Mitigation Measures Required for Revised AHBI Alternative	
	Conclusions	
	Conclusions	23-00
Chapter 24:	Unavoidable Adverse Impacts	24-1
Chapter 25:	Growth-Inducing Aspects of the Proposed Action	25-1
Chapter 26:	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	26-1
Chapter 27:	Response to Comments	27-1
Appendices		
	ix A: Study Areas for Population and Housing Analysis	
	ix B: Study Areas for Employment Analysis	
	ix C: Greenpoint-Williamsburg Rezoning Phase IA Archaeological Assessment Report- Part I	
	ix D: Site Observation Sheets (for Hazardous Materials Assessment)	
Append	ix E: Draft Zoning Text: Proposed Amendment to Article VI Chapter 2 Special Regulations App Waterfront Area	biying in the
A 4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· 2 G:-1
Append		ter 2 Special
Annand	Regulations Applying in the Waterfront Area ix G: RWCDS Tables for Revised AHBI Alternative - Projected and Potential Development Sites	,
Append: Append		2
Append		wised AHRI
Append	Alternative under a Modified Scenario B	viscu AIIDI
Append		vised AHRI
<u> 1 ippenu</u>	Alternative under a Revised RWCDS Which Reclassifies Three Potential Sites as Projected D	
	Sites	-c veropinent
Append		in Marritarrin
- ippend	ix K: Report Assessing Changes in Combined Sewer Overflows (CSOs) Resulting from Increases:	III Newiown
	Report Assessing Changes in Combined Sewer Overflows (CSOs) Resulting from Increases Creek WPCP effluent Flows Associated with Development Under the Proposed Action and Re Alternative.	

LIST OF TABLES

S-1	Summary of Proposed Zoning Changes	
S-2	Summary of RWCDS for Scenario A and Scenario B on Projected Development Sites	S-12
S-3	Hazardous Materials (E) Designation Status of Projected and Potential Development Sites	S-25
S-4	Summary of Traffic Impacted Intersections	S-30
S-5	Projected and Potential Development Sites Receiving Air Quality (E) Designation for Industrial Sources	S 21
S-6	Development Sites Receiving (E) Designation for Noise	
S-7	Summary of Mitigated Traffic Impacts	
S-8	Summary of Environmental Effects of Analyzed Alternatives	
1-1	RWCDS Projected Development Sites	
1-2	Potential Development Sites	
1-2	Summary of RWCDS for Scenario A & Scenario B on Projected Dev. Sites	
2-1a	Existing Lot Area by Land Use in the Primary Study Area	
2-1a 2-1b	Existing Lot Area by Land Use in Greenpoint Portion of the Primary Study Area	
2-10 2-1c	Existing Lot Area by Land Use in Williamsburg Portion of Primary Study Area	
2-10	Subsidized Housing Developments in the Land Use Study Area	
2-3	No-Action Development Sites in the Secondary Study Area (½-Mile Radius of Action Area)	
2-4	Existing Zoning Districts and Regulations in the Primary Study Area	
2-5	Summary of Proposed Zoning Changes	
2-6	Proposed Zoning Districts and Regulations	
2-7	Summary of Contextual Residential Zoning Districts	
3-1	Future Development on Projected Development Sites with Existing Housing Units	
3-2	Employment Subject to Direct Displacement Under Proposed Action	
3-3	Brooklyn Private Sector Employment: 1960-2000	
3-4	Proposed Action Area Employment: 1991 and 2002	
3-5	Industrial Jobs in Proposed Action Area Zones, 1991-2002	
3-6	Industrial Jobs in Nearby Industrial Areas, 1991-2002	
3-7	Primary Study Area Employment: 1991 and 2002	
3-8	Secondary Study Area Employment: 1991 and 2002	
3-9	Commercial Establishments in Greenpoint-Williamsburg Study Area, 2003	
3-10	Vacant Industrial Land and Vacant Businesses Available for Lease and for Sale	
3-11	Population, 1990 and 2000	3-30
3-12	Age Distribution as Percent of Total Population, 1990 and 2000	3-30
3-13	Occupational Changes, Proposed Action Area, 1990-2000	
3-14	Occupational Changes, Primary Study Area, 1990-2000	
3-15	Occupational Changes, Secondary Study Area, 1990-2000	
3-16	Household Characteristics	
3-17	Household Income	
3-18	Percent of Population Below Poverty Level	
3-19	Housing Units and Vacancy	
3-20	Housing Tenure	3-37
3-21	Housing Characteristics	
3-22	Unprotected Housing Units	
3-23	Unprotected Housing Units by Census Tract	3-45
3-24	Average Household Income for Renters in Small Buildings, Buildings with 5 or more Units, and All	
	Renter-Occupied Buildings in Brooklyn, 2000	
3-25	Proposed and Recently Constructed Housing Units in Census Tract 519	
3-26	Population and Housing Growth Under No-Action Scenario, 2003-2013	
3-27	Population and Housing Growth Under With-Action Scenario, 2003-2013	3-56
4-1	Existing Study Area Public School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization Figures	
	2002-2003 School Year	
4-2	Public School Students Generated by New Development in the Future Without the Proposed Action	. 4-6
4-3	No-Action Condition Estimated Public Elementary and Intermediate School Enrollment, Capacity, and	4.0
	Utilization in 2013	. 4-8

4-4	Future Without the Proposed Action 2013 Estimated Brooklyn Public High School	
	Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization	4-9
4-5	Public School Students Generated by New Development in the Future with the Proposed Action	
4-6	Action Condition Estimated Public Elementary and Intermediate School Enrollment, Capacity, and	1 -11
4-7	Future With the Proposed Action: 2013 Estimated Brooklyn Public High School Enrollment,	7-11
4-7	Capacity, and Utilization	1 12
4-8	Existing Library Facilities Within the Study Area	
4-8 4-9		
4-9	Public Day Care Centers in Study Area 4 Hospitals and Emergency Rooms within One-Mile of Proposed Action Area 4	
4-10		
	Summary of Outpatient Health Care Facilities Within 1-Mile of the Proposed Action Area	
4-12	Police Protection	
4-13	Crime Statistics for the 94 th Precinct: 1997 to 2003	
4-14	Crime Statistics for the 90 th Precinct: 1997 to 2003	
4-15	Fire Protection Services	
4-16	Fire and EMS Response Times	
5-1	Employees on Projected Development Sites Under No-Action and With-Action Conditions	
5-2	Population and Age Group Distribution for Open Space Sub-Areas and Overall Study Area	
5-3	Inventory of Existing Open Spaces & Recreational Facilities	
5-4	Quantitative Analysis of Adequacy of Public Open Space Resources	
6-1	Results of Shadow Analysis	
7-1	Assessment of Archaeological Sensitivity	7-12
7-2	Projected and Potential Development Sites where Significant Adverse Impacts on Archaeological Resources Could Occur	7-17
7-3	Designated Architectural Resources in Proposed Action Area and Study Area	
7-4	Summary of Potential Effect of the Proposed Action on Identified Architectural Resources in	-17
/	the Proposed Action Area	7 28
8-1	Visual Resources in the Study Area	
10-1	DEC Water Quality Standards for the East River	
10-1	2002 DEP Water Quality Data for the E2 (East River) Sampling Station	
	Avian Species List for Bushwick Inlet and the Greenpoint/Williamsburg Waterfront	
10-3 10-4		
	Essential Fish Habitat Designated Species	
10-5	EFH-Designated Species with the Potential to Occur in the Proposed Action Area	
10-6	Projected Waterfront Development Sites	
10-7	Potential Development Sites	
10-8	Comprehensive List of Avian Species Potentially Along the East River Corridor	
11-1	List of Acronyms	
11-2	Properties Listed in Environmental Databases	
11-3	(E)-Designation Site Summary Table	
13-1	Existing Water Usage/Sewage Generation of Projected Development Sites	
13-2	2003 Monthly Flows at Newtown Creek WPCP	13-5
13-3	Water Usage/Sewage Generation of Projected Development Sites Under No-Action Conditions	
	(For Scenarios A and B), Compared to Existing Conditions	13-8
13-4	Water Usage/Sewage Generation of Projected Development Sites Under With-Action Conditions	
	(For Scenarios A and B), Compared to No-Action Conditions	
14-1	Existing Solid Waste Generation on Projected Development Sites	14-4
14-2	Solid Waste Generation on Projected Development Sites Under No-Action Conditions (for	
	Scenarios A and B), Compared to Existing Conditions	
14-3	Expected Solid Waste Generation on Projected Development Sites with the Proposed Action	14-8
14-4	Comparison of Solid Waste Generation on Projected Development Sites Under Action Conditions	
	(for Scenarios A and B), Compared to No-Action Conditions	14-9
15-1	Estimated Existing Energy Consumption on Projected Development Sites	15-4
15-2	Estimated Annual Energy Consumption on Projected Development Sites Under No-Action	
	Conditions (for Scenarios A and B), Compared to Existing Conditions	15-6
15-3		15-9
16-1	Roadway Level of Service Criteria	16-7
16-2	2003 Existing Conditions at Signalized Intersections	
16-3	2003 Existing Conditions at Unsignalized Intersections	

16-4	2013 No-Action Traffic Conditions at Signalized Intersections	16-18
16-5	2013 No-Action Traffic Conditions at Unsignalized Intersections	16-21
16-6	Transportation Planning Factors	16-25
16-7	Transportation Planning Factors for the Proposed Park (Site 211)	16-26
16-8	Total Weekday Peak Hour Travel Demand From Projected Development	
16-9	Total Weekday Peak Hour Travel Demand From No-Action Land Uses on Projected	
	Development Sites	16-29
16-10	Net Trips Generated by the Proposed Action	16-30
16-11	2013 With Action Traffic Conditions at Signalized Intersections	16-33
16-12	2013 With Action Traffic Conditions at Unsignalized Intersections	16-36
16-13	Summary of Impacted Intersections	16-39
17-1	Average Weekday Entering Turnstile Counts	17-3
17-2	Stairway Level of Service Definitions	
17-3	Existing Subway Station Analysis, Bedford Avenue (L) Station	
17-4	Existing Subway Station Analysis, Greenpoint Avenue (G) Station	
17-5	Existing Subway Station Analysis, Nassau Avenue (G) Station	
17-6	Existing Subway Line Haul Conditions, Canarsie/14 th Street (L) Line and Crosstown (G) Line	
17-7	Existing Local Bus Conditions	
17-8	Pedestrian Crosswalk/Corner Area and Sidewalk Levels of Service Descriptions	
17-9	Existing Sidewalk Conditions	
17-10	Existing Corner Area Conditions	
17-11	Existing Crosswalk Conditions	
17-12	2013 No-Action Subway Station Analysis, Bedford Avenue (L) Station	
17-13	2013 No-Action Subway Station Analysis, Greenpoint Avenue (G) Station	
17-14	2013 No-Action Subway Station Analysis, Nassau Avenue (G) Station	
17-15	2013 No-Action Subway Line Haul Conditions, Canarsie/14 th Street (L) Line and Crosstown (G) Line	
17-16	2013 No-Action Local Bus Conditions	
17-17	2013 No-Action Sidewalk Conditions	
17-18	2013 No-Action Corner Area Conditions	
17-19	2013 No-Action Crosswalk Conditions	
17-20	Subway Demand From the Proposed Action by Station	
17-21	2013 Future With the Proposed Action Subway Station Analysis, Bedford Avenue (L) Station	
17-22	2013 Future With the Proposed Action Subway Station Analysis, Greenpoint Avenue (G) Station	
17-23	2013 Future With the Proposed Action Subway Station Analysis, Nassau Avenue (G) Station	17-35
17-24	2013 Future With the Proposed Action Subway Line Haul Conditions, Canarsie/14 th Street (L) Line	
15.05	and Crosstown (G) Line	
17-25	2013 Future With the Proposed Action Local Bus Conditions	
17-26	2013 Future With the Proposed Action Sidewalk Conditions	
17-27	2013 Future With the Proposed Action Corner Area Conditions	
17-28	2013 Future With the Proposed Action Crosswalk Conditions	
18-1	National and New York State Ambient Air Quality Standards	
18-2	Industrial Source Analysis: Relevant NYSDEC Air Guideline Concentrations	
18-3	Mobile Source Receptor Locations	
18-4	Parking Garage - Analyzed Sites	
18-5	Background Pollutant Concentrations	
18-6	Representative Monitored Ambient Air Quality Data	
18-7	Maximum Predicted Existing 8-Hour Average Carbon Monoxide Concentrations for 2004	
18-8	Maximum Future No-Action Predicted 8-Hour Average Carbon Monoxide Concentrations for 2013	
18-9	Maximum Future No-Action Predicted 24-Hour Annual PM ₁₀ Concentrations for 2013	
18-10	Maximum Predicted 8-Hour Average Carbon Monoxide Concentrations for 2013	18-21
18-11	Maximum Predicted 1-Hour and 8-Hour Carbon Monoxide Concentrations on Development	10 22
18-12	Sites for 2013	
18-12	Maximum Predicted 24-Hour Average PM ₁₀ Concentrations for 2013 at Intersections	
18-13	Maximum Predicted Annual Average PM ₁₀ Concentrations for 2013	
18-14	Maximum Build Predicted Annual Average PM ₁₀ Concentrations on Development Sites for 2013	
18-15	Maximum Predicted Incremental 24-Hour and Annual Average PM ₂₅ Concentrations for 2013	
10-10	wighthum I reducted incremental 24-110th and Annual Average Fivi _{2.5} Concentrations for 2015	10-23

18-17	HVAC Dispersion Modeling Analysis Maximum Predicted Pollutant Concentrations from HVAC Clusters	18-26
18-18	Projected and Potential Development Sites Exceeding an SGC or AGC	
18-19	Maximum Predicted Impacts on Projected and Potential Development Sites from Industrial Sources .	
18-20	Estimated Maximum Cancer Risk and Hazard Index	
18-21	Maximum Predicted Pollutant Concentrations from the NYPA North 1st Street Facility	
18-21	Maximum Predicted Pollutant Concentrations from the TransGas Energy Facility	
19-1	Common Noise Levels	
19-2	Average Ability to Perceive Changes in Noise Levels	
19-2	Community Response to Increases in Noise Levels	
19-3	City of New York Ambient Noise Quality Zone Criteria	
	Noise Exposure Guidelines for Use in City Environmental Impact Review	
19-5 19-6		
	Required Attenuation Values to Achieve Acceptable Interior Noise Levels	
19-7	City of New York Noise Performance Standards for Manufacturing Districts	
19-8	Existing Noise Levels	
19-9	Future No-Action Noise Levels	
19-10	Future With-Action Noise Levels	
19-11	Maximum Building Attenuation to Comply with CEQR Requirements at Each Receptor Site	
19-12	Development Sites for (E) Designation: 30 dBA Attenuation	
19-13	Projected and Potential Development Sites for (E) Designation: 35 dBA Attenuation	
20-1	Typical Noise Emission Levels for Construction Equipment	
22-1	Proposed Traffic Mitigation Measures	
22-2	2013 With Mitigation Traffic Conditions in AM Peak Hour	
22-3	2013 With Mitigation Traffic Conditions in Midday Peak Hour	
22-4	2013 With Mitigation Traffic Conditions in PM Peak Hour	
22-5	2013 Future With Mitigation Subway Station Analysis, Bedford Avenue (L) Station	
22-6	2013 Future With Mitigation Subway Line Haul Conditions, Canarsie/14th Street (L) Line	
22-7	2013 Future With Mitigation Maximum Predicted 8-Hour Average Carbon Monoxide Concentrations	
23-1	Summary of Residential Development Under Each Alternative	. 23-2
23-2	Public School Students Generated by AWD Alternative	23-17
23-3	Future With AWD Alternative: Estimated Public Elementary and Intermediate School Enrollment,	
	Capacity, and Utilization in 2013	23-18
23-4	Summary of RWCDS for Revised AHBI Alternative Compared to Proposed Project	23-30
$ \begin{array}{r} \underline{23-4} \\ \underline{23-5} \\ \underline{23-6} \\ \underline{23-7} \\ \end{array} $	Income Limits for Low, Moderate, and Middle Income Households under Revised AHBI Alternative .	23-32
23-6	Public School Students Generated by Revised AHBI Alternative	
23-7	Future With Revised AHBI Alternative: Estimated Public Elementary and Intermediate School	
	Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization in 2013	23-35
23-8	Quantitative Analysis of Public Open Space Resources for Revised AHBI Alternative	
<u>23-8</u> <u>23-9</u>	Shadow Analysis for Revised AHBI	
23-10	Net Trips Generated by Revised AHBI Alternative, Compared to Proposed Action	
23-11	2013 Traffic Conditions With Revised AHBI Alternative	23-51
23-12	Traffic Mitigation Measures for Revised AHBI Alternative	
23-13	2013 Future Subway Line Haul Condition with Revised AHBI Alternative Canarsie/14 th Street (L) Lin	
	and Crosstown (G) Line	
23-14	Summary of Revised AHBI Alternative's Impacts and Possible Mitigation Measures	23-58
$\frac{23-1}{23-15}$	Summary of Environmental Effects of Analyzed Alternatives	

LIST OF FIGURES

	Fo	ollowing Page
S-1	Greenpoint-Williamsburg Proposed Action Area.	
S-2	Existing Zoning	
S-3	Proposed Zoning	
S-4	Proposed Waterfront Access Plan	
S-5	Proposed Changes to the City Map Under Scenario A and Scenario B	
1-1a	Proposed Action Area - Greenpoint	
1-1b	Proposed Action Area - Williamsburg	
1-2	Existing Zoning	
1-3	Proposed Zoning	
1-4	Proposed Waterfront Access Plan	
1-5	Proposed Changes to the City Map Under Scenario A and Scenario B	
1-6a	Projected and Potential Development Sites: Future Without Proposed Action - Greenpoint	
1-6b	Projected and Potential Development Sites: Future Without Proposed Action - Williamsburg	
1-6c	Projected and Potential Development Sites Future With Proposed Action - Greenpoint	
1-6d	Projected and Potential Development Sites Future With Proposed Action - Williamsburg	
2-1	Land Use Study Area	
2-2	Map of Residential Growth	
2-3	Primary Study Area Land Use	
2-4a	Secondary Study Area Land Use - Greenpoint	
2-4b	Secondary Study Area Land Use - Williamsburg	
2-5	Subsidized Housing Developments	
2-6	No-Build Sites in the Secondary Study Area	
2-7	Land Use Framework	
2-8a	Existing Zoning in the Primary Study Area	
2-8b	Existing Zoning in the Secondary Study Area	
2-9	Proposed Zoning	
2-10	Contextual Residential Building Types	
2-11	Urban Renewal Areas	
2-12	Business Improvement Districts and NYS Empire Zones	
3-1	Socioeconomic Study Areas	
3-2	Study Areas for Housing and Population Analysis	
3-3	Study Areas for Employment Analysis	
3-4	Examples of Existing Buildings with Residential Uses on Projected Development Sites	
3-5	Direct Residential Displacement on Projected Development Sites	
3-6	Direct Business Displacement on Projected Development Sites	
3-7	Examples Of Projected Development Sites with Existing Businesses Subject to Direct Displacement	
3-8	Composition of Employment in Greenpoint-Williamsburg Study Areas and Brooklyn: 2002	
3-9	Employment Density, 3 rd Quarter, 2002	
3-10	Retail Uses in the Study Area, 2003	
3-11	Median Household Income, 1999	
3-12	Average Household Income, 1999	
3-13	Percent of Population Below Poverty Level, 1999	
3-14	Rent-Regulated and Non-Regulated Housing: Greenpoint-Williamsburg Study Area	
3-14a	Rent-Regulated & Non-Regulated Housing: Proposed Action Area	
3-15	Census Tracts Containing Population Vulnerable to Indirect Displacement.	
3-16	Projected Development Sites in 2013	
4-1	Community Facilities: Public Schools	
4-2	Community Facilities: Libraries	
4-3	Community Facilities: Daycare and Headstart Facilities	
4-4	Community Facilities: Health Care Facilities	
4-5	Community Facilities: Public Safety	
5-1	Open Space Study Area	
5-2	Open Space Resources	5-8

5-3	Open Space Resources Anticipated By 2013 Under No-Action Conditions	5-20
5-4	Open Space Resources Anticipated By 2013 Under With-Action Conditions	5-22
5-5	Proposed Waterfront Access Plan	5-22
6-1	Resources of Concern	6-2
6-2	Key to Shadow Analysis Diagrams	6-10
6-3	Shadow Diagram - Greenpoint Park & Newtown Barge Park	6-10
6-4	Shadow Diagram - American Playground, Greenpoint Historic District, WNYC Transmitter Site .	
6-5	Shadow Diagram - McCarren Park	
6-6	Shadow Diagram - Inlet Park & East River State Park	6-12
6-7	Shadow Diagram - East River State Park	
6-8	Shadow Diagram - P.S. 84 William Sheridan Playground	6-14
6-9	Shadow Diagram - Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the Transfiguration of Our Lord	
6-10	Shadow Diagram - McCarren Park	
6-11	Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the Transfiguration of Our Lord	
7-1	Sites of Potential Archaeological Sensitivity	7-12
7-2	Designated Historic Resources in the Historic Resources Study Area	
7-3	Individual Landmarks in the Study Area- Astral Apartments	
7-4	Individual Landmarks in the Study Area - Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the	
	Transfiguration of Our Lord	7-20
7-5	Individual Landmarks in the Study Area - 19 th Police Precinct Station House and Stable	
7-6	Individual Landmarks in the Study Area - Williamsburgh Savings Bank	
7-7	Individual Landmarks in the Study Area - Kings County Savings Bank	
7-8	Individual Landmarks in the Study Area - Hecla Iron Works Office Building	
7-9	Greenpoint Historic District (Partially Within Study Area)	
7-10	Potential Historic Resources in the Proposed Action Area	
8-1	Urban Design & Visual Resources Primary Study Area	
8-2	Urban Design & Visual Resources Secondary Study Area	
8-3	Street Hierarchy	
8-4	Existing Blocked Waterfront Corridors in Proposed Action Area	
8-5	Urban Design Conditions: Waterfront	
8-6	Urban Design Conditions: Waterfront	
8-7	Urban Design Conditions: Waterfront	8-4
8-8	Urban Design Conditions: Waterfront and Upland Greenpoint	
8-9	Urban Design Conditions: Upland Greenpoint	8-6
8-10	Urban Design Conditions: Upland Williamsburg	
8-11	Urban Design Conditions: Central Greenpoint	
8-12	Urban Design Conditions: Northeast Greenpoint	
8-13	Urban Design Conditions: Williamsburg Waterfront and Southside/South Williamsburg	8-10
8-14	Urban Design Conditions: Southside/South Williamsburg	8-10
8-15	Urban Design Conditions: Eastern Williamsburg	8-12
8-16	Locations of Visual Resources	8-14
8-17	Visual Resources	8-14
8-18	Visual Resources	8-14
8-19	Visual Resources	8-14
8-20	Visual Resources	8-14
8-21	No-Action Sites in the Primary Study Area	8-16
8-22	No-Action Sites in the Secondary Study Area	8-18
8-23	With-Action Development Sites in the Primary Study Area	8-22
8-24	Waterfront Access Plan	8-22
8-25	Illustrative Bulk Rendering: Greenpoint Waterfront in the Future with the Proposed Action	8-24
8-26	Illustrative Bulk Rendering of Greenpoint Waterfront in the Future with the Proposed Action	8-25
8-27	Illustrative Bulk Rendering: Williamsburg Waterfront in the Future with the Proposed Action	
8-28	Illustrative Renderings: Greenpoint Waterfront in the Future with the Proposed Action	
9-1	Neighborhood Character Study Area	
10-1	Mapped Wetlands in the Project Area	
10-2	Floodplains	10-12
12-1	Coastal Zone Boundary Overlay on Projected & Potential Development Sites	
12-2	Newtown Creek Significant Maritime Industrial Area (SMIA)	

16-1	Traffic Study Area	
16-2a	2003 Existing Greenpoint Traffic Volumes - AM Peak Hour	16-2
16-2b	2003 Existing Williamsburg Traffic Volumes - AM Peak Hour	16-2
16-3a	2003 Existing Greenpoint Traffic Volumes - MD Peak Hour	16-2
16-3b	2003 Existing Williamsburg Traffic Volumes - MD Peak Hour	16-2
16-4a	2003 Existing Greenpoint Traffic Volumes - PM Peak Hour	16-2
16-4b	2003 Existing Williamsburg Traffic Volumes - PM Peak Hour	16-2
16-5a	Designated Truck Routes	
16-5b	Designated Truck Routes	16-6
16-6	Study Area Bicycle Facilities	
16-7a	On-Street Parking Regulations	. 16-14
16-7b	On-Street Parking Regulations	
16-7c	On-Street Parking Regulations	. 16-14
16-8a	2013 No-Action Greenpoint Traffic Volumes - AM Peak Hour	. 16-16
16-8b	2013 No-Action Williamsburg Traffic Volumes - AM Peak Hour	. 16-16
16-9a	2013 No-Action Greenpoint Traffic Volumes - MD Peak Hour	. 16-16
16-9b	2013 No-Action Williamsburg Traffic Volumes - MD Peak Hour	
16-10a	2013 No-Action Greenpoint Traffic Volumes - PM Peak Hour	. 16-16
16-10b	2013 No-Action Williamsburg Traffic Volumes - PM Peak Hour	. 16-16
16-11a	Greenpoint Net Project Generated Traffic - AM Peak Hour	. 16-32
16-11b	Williamsburg Net Project Generated Traffic - AM Peak Hour	. 16-32
16-12a	Greenpoint Net Project Generated Traffic - MD Peak Hour	. 16-32
16-12b	Williamsburg Net Project Generated Traffic - MD Peak Hour	. 16-32
16-13a	Greenpoint Net Project Generated Traffic - PM Peak Hour	. 16-32
16-13b	Williamsburg Net Project Generated Traffic - PM Peak Hour	. 16-32
16-14a	2013 With-Action Greenpoint Traffic Volumes - AM Peak Hour	. 16-32
16-14b	2013 With-Action Williamsburg Traffic Volumes - AM Peak Hour	. 16-32
16-15a	2013 With-Action Greenpoint Traffic Volumes - MD Peak Hour	. 16-32
16-15b	2013 With-Action Williamsburg Traffic Volumes - MD Peak Hour	. 16-33
16-16a	2013 With-Action Greenpoint Traffic Volumes - PM Peak Hour	. 16-33
16-16b	2013 With-Action Williamsburg Traffic Volumes - PM Peak Hour	. 16-32
17-1	Proposed Action Area Subway Stations	17-2
17-2	Proposed Action Area Local Bus Routes	
17-3	Analyzed Pedestrian Facilities	
18-1	Air Quality Receptors Locations	
18-2	Location of HVAC Cluster Sites	
19-1	Noise receptor Locations	
22-1	Proposed Mitigation for Stair S3 at Bedford Avenue (L) Station	
23-1	Lesser Density Alternative	
23-2a	Illustrative Bulk Diagrams for Lesser Density Alternative - Greenpoint	
23-2b	Illustrative Bulk Diagrams for Lesser Density Alternative - Williamsburg	. 23-14
23-3	Additional Waterfront Development Alternative	
23-4	Illustrative Bulk Diagrams for Additional Waterfront Development Alternative	
23-5a	Illustrative Bulk Diagrams for Waterfront Urban Design Alternative - Greenpoint	. 23-24
23-5b	Illustrative Bulk Diagrams for Waterfront Urban Design Alternative - Williamsburg	
23-6	Revised AHBI Alternative - Zoning Map	
23-7	<u>Projected and potential Development Sites: Future With Revised AHBI Alternative - Williamsburg</u> .	
23-8a	<u>Illustrative Bulk Diagrams for Revised AHBI Alternative - Greenpoint</u>	
23-8h	Illustrative Bulk Diagrams for Revised AHBI Alternative - Williamsburg	23-46

^{*} Denotes that the figure is found on the specified page.

This document is the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Greenpoint-Williamsburg Rezoning project. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed action was accepted as complete by the New York City Department of City Planning as lead agency on October 4, 2004. Public notice of completion of the DEIS and a public hearing on the DEIS was published in the *City Record*, *Environmental News Bulletin*, and *New York Post* on January 5, 2005. The City Planning Commission held a public hearing at the Klitgord Auditorium of New York City Technical College on January 19, 2005 at 10 AM. The period for public review remained open until January 29, 2005.

The FEIS reflects all substantive comments made on the DEIS during the public hearing and subsequent comment period. The comments are summarized and responded to in Chapter 27 "Response to Comments." Changes to the text and graphics of the FEIS were also made when appropriate to comments. In addition, Chapter 23, "Alternatives" of the FEIS has been modified to add an analysis of a Revised Affordable Housing Bonus and Incentives (Revised AHBI) Alternative, which was developed by the Department of City Planning in response to comments received during the public review process for the DEIS. This alternative reflects the Department's modified ULURP application (ULURP Nos. N050110(A) ZRK and C050111(A) ZMK), which incorporates zoning-based mechanisms to encourage affordable housing, together with some changes to height and setback regulations in the waterfront area and minor changes to the zoning map. This alternative modifies and replaces the Affordable Housing Bonus and Incentives (AHBI) Alternative analyzed in the DEIS.

The FEIS also includes six new appendices, Appendices F - K. Appendix F provides the draft zoning text for the Revised AHBI Alternative; Appendix G provides the reasonable worst case development scenario (RWCDS) tables for the Revised AHBI Alternative. Appendix H includes all written comments received on DEIS. Appendix I includes a technical memorandum discussing the open space ratio calculations for the proposed action and the revised AHBI Alternative under a modified Scenario B (where a TransGas power plant would be developed underground). Appendix J is a technical memorandum which assesses the environmental effects of the proposed action and the Revised AHBI Alternative under a revised RWCDS which reclassifies three potential sites as projected development sites; information which became available in the period during which the FEIS was being prepared for publication indicated that these sites may be developed during the foreseeable future. In order to provide for a more conservative assessment, Appendix J therefore considers the environmental effects of the proposed action and the Revised AHBI Alternative with these sites classified as projected development sites. FEIS chapters should be read in conjunction with this technical memorandum. Appendix K is a report which assesses changes in combined sewer overflows (CSOs) resulting from increases in Newtown Creek WPCP effluent flows associated with development under the proposed action and Revised AHBI Alternative.

Except for this Foreword and Chapter 27 (which are new), all additions made to the text since publication of the DEIS are indicated by double-underlining the text. Where appropriate, deleted text is indicated by a notation in the margin.